



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILED DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,984	12/28/2000	Dieter Wenninger	BEIERSDORF 687-WCG	9463
7590	11/18/2003			EXAMINER
Norris McLaughlin & Marcus, P.A. 220 East 42nd Street 30th Floor New York, NY 10017			ZIRKER, DANIEL R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application  
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

**ADVISORY ACTION**

**THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.**

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

**PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]**

- a)  The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
b)  In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(l)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action. OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Explanations of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(b). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1.  A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  
2.  The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.  
3.  The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);  
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter. (see NOTE below);  
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*Several of the 35 USC 112, and paragraph rejections of record.*

4.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  
5.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  
6.  The a)  affidavit, b)  exhibit, or c)  request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: *See attached / NOTE*  
7.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  
8.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):

Claim(s) allowed: None

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) rejected: 1-13

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_

9.  The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ a)  has b)  has not been approved by the Examiner.

10.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

11.  Other: PTO - 892

DANIEL ZIRKER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 1300  
1700  
*Daniel Zirker*

Serial No. 09/750,984

-2-

Art Unit 1771

NOTE

1. The Examiner has little to add to his presently pending four prior art rejections except for the following observation. Applicants have traversed the Examiner's position that "oriented" polypropylene films are not well known in the adhesive tape art. Accordingly, the Examiner is including with his action Form PTO-892 which cites two U.S. patents, Wong et al., U.S. 4,451,533 (see, e.g. the Abstract) and Rohowitz, U.S. 4,188,437 (note, e.g. Example 1 at column 7 lines 49-54). Applicants' remaining comments are also seen to be lacking in merit, for reasons of record.

DZirkler:cdc

(703) 308-0031

November 12, 2003